OIPE IAP80 OCT 11 2005 PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

10-13-05 IFW 2632/9

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	09/988,485
Filing Date	November 20, 2001
First Named Inventor	Mark E. Tuttle
Art Unit	2632
Examiner Name	Lee, Benjamin C.
Attorney Docket Number	MI40-337

ENCLOSURES *(Check all that apply)*

- [x] Fee Transmittal Form
- [x] Fee Attached
- [x] Amendment/Reply
 - [] After Final
 - [] Affidavits/declaration(s)
- [x] Extension of Time Request
- [] Express Abandonment Request
- [] Information Disclosure Statement
- [] Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
- [] Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application
 - [] Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53
- [] Drawing(s)
- [] Licensing-related Papers
- [x] Petition
- [] Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application
- [] Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address
- [x] Terminal Disclaimer (copy)
- [] Request for Refund
- [] CD, Number of CD(s) _____
 - [] Landscape Table on CD
- [] After Allowance Communication to TC
- [] Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
- [] Appeal Communication to TC **(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)**
- [] Proprietary Information
- [] Status Letter
- [x] Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):

Return Receipt Postcard $320.00 check interview summary

Remarks

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Wells St. John P.S.		
Signature			
Printed name	James D. Shaurette		
Date	October 11, 2005 10/11/05	Reg. No.	39,833

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as Express mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Express

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Jim Tidrick	Date	October 11, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

EV633264837

OIPE IAP80 OCT 11 2005 PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No. 09/988,485
Filing Date November 20, 2001
Inventor..... Mark E. Tuttle
Assignee.....Micron Technology, Inc.
Group Art Unit.....2632
Examiner Lee, Benjamin C.
Attorney's Docket No. MI40-337
Customer No. 021567
Confirmation No. 8903
Title: Communication Devices, Remote Intelligent Communication Devices, Electronic Communication Devices, Methods of Forming Remote Intelligent Communication Devices and Methods of Forming a Radio Frequency Identification Device

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to the undersigned during the telephone interview. The undersigned and the Examiner discussed the amendments to claim 69 to include the limitations of claim 72 and removal of the limitations of the modulator and the integrated circuit. The amendments are made in the accompanying response and such were favorably received by the Examiner. The undersigned and the Examiner discussed the motivational rationale presented by the Office in support of the rejection of claim 83. Applicants respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 83 is improper for the reasons set forth in the accompanying response. Applicants and the Examiner discussed the teachings of Tuttle as allegedly disclosing a substantially void-free mass as recited in claim 88. Applicants position discussed during the interview with respect to claim 88 is set forth in the accompanying response. The Examiner indicated that the amendment to claim 89 in the accompanying response would be favorably received by the Office. Additional arguments of Applicants are set forth in the accompanying response.

 EV633264837

Applicants respectfully request allowance of all pending claims.

The Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned if the Examiner believes such would facilitate prosecution of the present application. The undersigned is available for telephone consultation at any time during normal business hours (Pacific Time Zone).

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 10/11/05 By: _____

James D. Shaurette
Reg. No. 39,883